

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/845,780	04/30/2001	Brian T. Murren	GE1-007US	4333	
21718 LEE & HAYE	7590 01/09/2007 S.P.L.C.	EXAMINER			
SUITE 500 421 W RIVERSIDE SPOKANE, WA 99201			EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2157		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			01/09/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lhpto@leehayes.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	-	
09/845,780	MURREN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hussein A. El-chanti	2157		

	Hussein A. El-chanti	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	4
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l</li> </ol>	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed affection, they are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	:		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-50. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation Sheet.	•	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues in substance that Fields does not disclose a hierarch of constraint layers that constraint the operation of an application. In response, Fields teaches a system and method for customizing an application in multiple stages such as a first stage of customizing accordding to user preferences and then a second stage of customization according to corporate preferences (see fig. 4-5). Therefore the multiple customization stages taught by Fields meets the scope of the claimed limitation "a hierarchy of constraint layer that constraints the application according to multiple levels".

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100